

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,926	CHOI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eduardo Colon Santana	2837	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Eduardo Colon Santana. (3) _____.

(2) Lisa A. Kilday. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 April 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: N/A.

Claim(s) discussed: 43.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,776,848.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Kilday was contacted by phone, to discuss the after-final amendments filed on 3/31/2006, which cancelled claim 44 and amended claim 43. After careful review of the claims, amended claim 43, is still not allowable over the prior art. Ms. Kilday and myself agree to amend claim 43 to incorporate related subject matter similar to that of claim 24, which is allowable. Ms. Kilday draft a proposed amendment for claim 43 which was review and accepted and would put the case in condition for allowance.